

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.114  
Serial No. 09/704,558  
Attorney Docket No. 001475

### **REMARKS**

Claims 5-8 are pending in the present application. Claim 5 is herein amended. No new matter has been entered. It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Advisory Action dated November 9, 2006.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103**

Claims 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over *Bayeh et al.* (hereinafter *Bayeh*, U.S. Patent No. 6,012,098).

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for rejecting claims 5-8 for the reasons stated in the previous responses and for the reasons discussed below. However, to expedite prosecution and clarify the subject matter of the present invention, Applicants hereby amend claim 5 to further distinguish the claimed invention from the *Bayeh* system. Accordingly, in view of this amendment and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the obviousness rejection of claims 5-8.

In order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, all of the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. See MPEP 2143. However, *Bayeh* fails to teach or suggest a processor comprising *a plurality of display control files which are provided for each web page and in which a processing program to be executed and an HTML tag for displaying a result of executing the processing program are described separately; wherein the interpreter interprets a CGI script according to a request from the operation terminal, specifies a display*

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.114  
Serial No. 09/704,558  
Attorney Docket No. 001475

*control file which is designated through the web browser by using a parameter designated by the operation terminal, executes a processing program which is described in the specified display control file, and outputs a display control information based on the HTML tag of the specified display control file and result of the processing.* See claim 5. For example, *Bayeh*, does not teach or suggest (a) display control files in which the information such as a processing program and an HTML tag are described for each web page (please see page 8, lines 2 to 6 of the original text), and (b) a (single) CGI interpreter interprets a (single) CGI script and specifies the display control file based on a parameter designated by a client (please see page 12, lines 9 to 22 of the original text).

In view of the remarks above, Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has failed to present a prima facie case of obviousness. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claim 5-8.

### **Conclusion**

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.114  
Serial No. 09/704,558  
Attorney Docket No. 001475

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

**WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D - A Auito', is written over the printed name.

Darrin A. Auito

Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 56,024  
Telephone: (202) 822-1100  
Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

DAA/rf